

Zur Molekularisierung der Ernährungsforschung

Was heißt und zu welchem Ende treibt man Ernährungswissenschaft?¹

Teil 2: Von den kognitiven Zielen und Grenzen der molekularen Ernährungsforschung

Dr. rer. nat. Alexander Ströhle und Prof. Dr. Frank Döring

Ernährungs Umschau 56 (2009), S. 282 ff.

Literatur

- Schiller F: Was heißt und zu welchem Ende studiert man Universalgeschichte? Eine akademische Antrittsrede. In: Schiller F: Sämtliche Werke. Vierter Band (Historische Schriften). Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, Darmstadt (1980)
- Snow CP. Die zwei Kulturen. DTV, Stuttgart (1987)
- Ströhle A, Döring F (2009) Zur Molekularisierung der Ernährungsforschung oder was heißt und zu welchem Ende treibt man Ernährungswissenschaft? Teil I: Der wissenschaftliche Status quo der Ernährungswissenschaft. Ernährungs Umschau 55, 202–207
- Vollmer G: Die Einheit der Wissenschaft in evolutionärer Perspektive. In: Vollmer G: Was können wir wissen? Band 2. Die Erkenntnis der Natur. Hirzel, Stuttgart (1988)
- Mahner M, Bunge M: Philosophische Grundlagen der Biologie. Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York (2000)
- Mahner M (2002) Erklärung. Naturw Rdsch 55: 173–74
- Bunge M: Treatise on Basic Philosophy, vol. 6: Epistemology and Methodology II: Understanding the World. D. Reidel, Dordrecht (1983)
- Bunge M: Philosophy of Science. Volume 1: From Problem to Theory. Transaction Publishers, New Brunswick (USA)/London (UK) (2005)
- Bunge M: Emergence and Convergence: Qualitative Novelty and the Unity of Knowledge. University of Toronto Press, Toronto/Buffalo/London (2003)
- Bunge M (2004) How does it work? The search for explanatory mechanisms. Philos Sci 34: 182–210
- Bunge M (1997) Mechanisms and explanation. Philos Social Sci 27: 410–65
- Machamer PK, Darden L, Craver CF (2000) Thinking about mechanisms. Philos Sci 67: 1–25
- Watson JD, Crick FH (1953) Molecular structure of nucleic acids; a structure for deoxyribose nucleic acid. Nature 171: 738–8
- Watson JD, Crick FH (1953) Genetic implications of the structure of deoxyribonucleic acid. Nature 171: 964–7
- Sarkar S: Genes versus Molecules. How To, and How Not To, Be a Reductionist. In: M van Regenmortel, Hull DL (eds.): Promises and Limits of Reductionism in Biomedical Sciences. Wiley, New York (2002) pp. 191–206
- Finzer P: Zum Verständnis biologischer Systeme. Reduktionen in Biologie und Biomedizin. Centaurus, Herbolzheim (2003)
- Robert JS: Embryology, Epigenesis and Evolution: Taking Development Seriously. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006)
- Moss L (2002) From representational preformationism to the epigenesis of openness to the world? Reflections on a new vision of the organism. Ann N Y Acad Sci 981: 219–29
- Oyama S: The ontogeny of information: Developmental systems and evolution. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge/Durham (2000)
- Stotz K: Organismen als Entwicklungssysteme. In: Krohs U, Toepfer G (Hg): Philosophie der Biologie. Eine Einführung. Suhrkamp, Frankfurt/Main (2005) pp 125–43
- Crick FHC: Of Molecules and Man. University of Washington Press, Seattle, USA (1966)
- Zhang Y, Proenca R, Maffei M et al. (1994) Positional cloning of the mouse obese gene and its human homologue. Nature 372(6505): 425–32
- Friedman JM (2002) The function of leptin in nutrition, weight, and physiology. Nutr Rev 60: 1–14
- Bunge M, Mahner M: Über die Natur der Dinge. Materialismus und Wissenschaft. Hirzel, Stuttgart/Leipzig (2004)
- Mahner M. (2007) Positivismus. Naturw Rdsch 60: 110
- Elder CL. (2000) Physicalism and the fallacy of composition. Philosophical Quarterly 50: 332–43
- Baker LR: Saving Belief: A Critique of Physicalism. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1987)
- Armstrong DM. World of States of Affairs. Cambridge University Press (1997)
- Radder H (2001) Psychology, physicalism and real physics. Theory & Psychology 11: 773–784
- Sturgeon S. (1998) Physicalism and overdetermination (World view, causality). Mind 107: 411–432
- Cranet T. (1990) There is no question of physicalism. Mind 99: 185

32. Melzer H, Schachter J. (1985) *On physicalism*. *Synthese* 64: 359–374
33. Bunge M. (1982) *Is Chemistry a branch of Physics?* *Zeitschrift für allgemeine Wissenschaftstheorie* 13: 209–23
34. Bunge M: *Philosophy of Science and Technology. Part 1: Formal and Physical Sciences*. Reidel, Dordrecht (1985)
35. Bunge M: *Reduktionismus und Integration, Systeme und Niveaus, Monismus und Dualismus*. In: Pöppel E (Hg): *Gehirn und Bewußtsein*. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim (1989)
36. Lombardi O, Labarca M. (2005) *The ontological autonomy of the chemical world*. *Foundations of Chemistry* 7 (2): 125–48
37. Lombardi O, Labarca M. (2005) *The ontological autonomy of the chemical world: a response to Needham*. *Foundations of Chemistry* 8, (1): 81–92
38. Tauber AI, Sarkar S. (1992) *The human genome project: has blind reductionism gone too far?* *Perspect Biol Med*. 35: 220–35
39. Tauber AI, Sarkar S. (1993) *The ideology of the human genome project*. *JR Soc Med* 86 (9): 537–40
40. Gilbert W: *A vision of the Grail*. In: Kevels DJ, Hood L (Hg): *The Code of Codes*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge (1992) pp. 83–97
41. Lewontin RC. *It ain't necessarily so: The Dream of the Human genome and Other Illusions*. New York Review of Books (2001)
42. Venter JC, Adams MD, Myers EW et al. (2001) *The sequence of the human genome*. *Science* 291: 1304–51
43. Lander ES, Linton LM, Birren B et al. (2001) *International Human Genome Consortium. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome*. *Nature* 409 (6822): 860–921
44. Sarkar S: *From genes as determinantes to DNA as resource: Historical notes on development, genetics, and evolution*. In: Sarkar S (Hrsg.): *Molecular models of life. Philosophical Papers on Molecular Biology*. MIT Press, Cambridge (2005) pp. 365–88.
45. Stotz K. (2006) *With “genes” like that, who needs an environment? Postgenomics’ argument for the “ontogeny of information”*. *Philos Sci* 73: 930–46
46. Yampolsky LY, Scheiner SR. (1994) *Developmental Noise, Phenotypic Plasticity, and Allozyme Heterozygosity in Daphnia*. *Evolution* 1715–22
47. Elowitz MB, Levine AJ, Siggia ED, Swain PS (2002) *Stochastic Gene Expression in a Single Cell*. *Science* 297: 1183–6
48. Blake WJ, Kaern M, Cantor CR, Collins JJ. (2003) *Noise in eukaryotic gene expression*. *Nature* 422: 633–7
49. Raser JM, O’Shea E.K. (2004) *Control of Stochasticity in Eukaryotic Gene Expression*. *Science* 304: 1811–4
50. Raser JM, O’Shea EK. (2005) *Noise in gene Expression: Origins, Consequences, and Control*. *Science* 309: 2010–3
51. Volfson D, Marciniak J, Blake WJ et al. (2006) *Origins of extrinsic variability in eukaryotic gene expression*. *Nature* 439: 861–4
52. Chang HH, Hemberg M, Barahona M et al. (2008) *Transcriptome-wide noise controls lineage choice in mammalian progenitor cells*. *Nature* 453: 544–7
53. Strange K. (2007) *Revisiting the Krogh Principle in the post-genome era: caenorhabditis elegans as a model system for integrative physiology research*. *J Exp Biol* 210: 1622–31
54. Bateson P. (2005) *The return of the whole organism*. *J Biosci* 30: 31–9
55. Regenmortel V. (2004) *Biological complexity emerges from the ashes of genetic reductionism*. *J Mol Recogn* 17: 145–48
56. Ahn AC, Tewari M, Poon CS, Phillips RS. (2006) *The limits of reductionism in medicine: could systems biology offer an alternative?* *PLoS Med* 3: e208
57. Strange K. (2005) *The end of “naive reductionism”: rise of systems biology or renaissance of physiology?* *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 288: C968–74

1 In Anlehnung an Friedrich Schillers Antrittsvorlesung [1].